

**London Borough of Hammersmith & Fulham**

Housing and Regeneration

3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Extension, King Street, London W6 9JU

Tel: 020 8753 4228

Email: [melbourne.barrett@lbhf.gov.uk](mailto:melbourne.barrett@lbhf.gov.uk)

Web: [www.lbhf.gov.uk](http://www.lbhf.gov.uk)



Date: 24 July 2012

Jonathan Rosenberg, Community Organiser  
West Ken & Gibbs Green Community Homes Ltd  
West Kensington Estate TRA  
Gibbs Green & Dieppe Close TRA

105 Gibbs Green,  
**London W14 9NE**

Dear Mr Rosenberg,

**West Kensington & Gibbs Green Estates**

Thank you for your letter of 26 June 2012. I respond below.

As to paragraph 2 of your letter, you are right that I did not address all of the points raised in your letters of 7 and 10 June 2012 in my letter of 21 June 2012. As explained later in my letter of 21 June 2012, Mr Myers' letter 8 June 2012 had already made the Council's position plain on a number of issues. As regards your paragraph 9, neither I nor anyone else at the Council will be responding to the issues that I considered could not usefully be addressed by the Council.

As to your paragraph 5, Janette Mullins did not attempt to identify an alternative email address for you. Thank you for identifying an alternative address.

As to your paragraphs 6, 8 and 15, I understand that Sarah Lovell replied to Celine Kuklowsky's email of Friday 22 June on Tuesday 26 June 2012, and that Ms Kuklowsky attended to inspect the consultation responses on 27 June 2012, and 5 and 16 July 2012. Ms Kuklowsky's email was addressed to Shaun Dunleavy and "westken@lbhf.gov.uk".

As to your paragraphs 7 and 12, I note your comments regarding the automated message system but I do not consider an investigation to be necessary.

I note paragraph 13 of your letter. As a matter of law, the Information Commissioner has no jurisdiction over the Council's analysis of the consultation responses. You have not identified any argument to the contrary. That said, you are of course free to send correspondence to the Information Commissioner as you see fit.

Melbourne Barrett MBA MRICS  
Director of Housing and Regeneration

I also note your comments in paragraph 14 . I do not accept your claim of systematic bias but in, so far as is possible, the Council will consider any further representations that you wish to make.

As to your paragraph 16, you are incorrect in your assumption that the form you quoted from was discounted for being “potentially violent”. The form in question was included in the “not-counted” file because the resident in question submitted duplicate forms. No forms were discounted because residents used bad language or threatened violence.

Paragraph 17 of your letter is noted. The Council’s position remains as set out in my letter of 21 June 2012.

As to your paragraph 18, the Council proposes to put before the Cabinet your letters of 29 May 2012, and 7, 10 and 26 June 2012, together with the Council’s responses (from Mr Myers and myself). If there are any further letters that you wish to be included, I would be grateful if you could identify them for me.

Paragraphs 19 and 20 contain further argument relating to the alleged inadequacies of the Council’s analysis of the consultation responses. As explained above, this will be put before the Cabinet in due course. I remain of the view that the Council’s position has already been sufficiently stated in previous correspondence. I do not propose to lengthen this letter by repeating the points already made.

Finally, I note that various FOIA requests are made in your letter of 26 June 2012. These will be responded to in due course in accordance with the Council’s standard procedure for requests of this type.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'MBA', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Melbourne Barrett MBA MRICS  
ExecutiveDirector  
Housing and Regeneration